#### Aleutian Island Pollock

SSLMC Proposal #23

submitted by

Aleut Enterprise LLC and Adak Fisheries

Consider the SSL implications of the suite of alternatives under Part II of Amendment 85

## Proposal 23 - Background

At some future time there is likely to be a split of the BSAI P. cod TAC into separate BS and AI TACs.

The Council is considering action under part II of Amendment 85 in order to deal with the allocation consequences of a split.

Protected Resources has not indicated whether the seasonal and gear apportionments would need to be applied at the area TAC level or whether they could be maintained at the aggregate BSAI level.

# Proposal 23 – Status Quo

2006	2006		2006		n06				
BSAI	004 705				,00	00	750		
ALL	204,705	DOM 0		AI ALL		23,7	50	A1. 0	
BSAI -A season	BSAI-B season	BSAI-C season		AI -A season		AI -B season		AI- C season	
124,735	17,392	62,578		20,7	770	2,0	080		900
BSAI A %	BSAI B %	BSAI C %		Al A %		AIB%		AI C %	
60.9%	8.5%	30.6%		87.5	5%	8.8	8%	3	.8%

## Model Scoring

#### Effects of fishing on Fish

Not Applicable

### Effects of Fishing on SSL

Proximity:

Not Applicable

Seasons:

A & B

Region:

CAI/WAI/EAI/BS

**Target Species:** 

P. Cod

#### "Outside the Model" Considerations

Under status quo NMFS accounts seasonal apportionments at the aggregate BSAI level. This was the context of the consultation for last Bi-Op.

Under Part I of Amendment 85 Protected Resources agreed that as long as the aggregate seasonal split was maintained across all gears, it was not necessary to apply the seasonal apportionments from the last Bi-Op at the sector level.

Future action under Part II of Amendment 85 has the potential to ensure that catch is spatially distributed to better reflect biomass distribution.

The allocation issues associated with Part II of Amendment 85 will be less complex and less contentious if the seasonal apportionments are maintained at the aggregate BSAI level.